OPEN ACCESS

ORIGNAL ARTICLE

CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF COMPRESSION ALONG WITH ULTRASOUND GUIDED FOAM SCLEROTHERAPY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF VENOUS ULCERS: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, PESHAWAR.

Shafi Ullah¹, Ahmad Arsalan Tahir², Muhammad Ishfaq³, Nasir Bakhtiar⁴, Ambareen Subhan⁵, Muhammad Farooq⁶.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy UGFS has been demonstrated to be as successful as open surgery in the management of venous ulcers. **OBJECTIVE** This study aimed to evaluate the clinical outcomes of compression along with ultrasound guided foam sclerotherapy in the management of venous ulcers. MATERIAL AND METHODS: This eighteen-month prospective observational study was conducted from July 2022 to December 2023 with a follow up period of one year at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Peshawar. Patients were recruited through non-probability convenience sampling. Prospective assessments were conducted on patients with superficial truncal venous incompetence and active venous leg ulcers CEAP clinical class C6 diagnosed through clinical evaluation and findings from doppler ultrasound. Baseline clinical, imaging, and demographic data were gathered before the standard procedure of compression therapy and ultrasound guided foam sclerotherapy. The time frame from the intervention to the complete healing of the ulcer and the rate of ulcer recurrence throughout the one-year follow-up period were the main outcome measures **RESULTS**: The study included 33 individuals aged 40-50, with a mean age of 43 ± 5 years. The gender distribution was 19 men 57.6% and 14 women 42.4%. By the end of the one-year follow-up period, 26 patients 78.8% had fully healed ulcers, three patients 9.1% had incomplete healing, with ulcers showing moderate improvement but not fully healing. Four patients 12.1% had unhealed ulcers, indicating no progress during the research period. Ulcer recurrence was reported in one patient 4% who had previously healed ulcers. The healed ulcers had an average size reduction of $80\% \pm 5\%$. The average time for healing was 14 ± 3 weeksv**CONCLUSION:** The results of this study concluded that, treating venous ulcers with compression therapy and ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy together significantly improves healing rates, reduces ulcer size, and enhances patient quality of life. The beneficial result of this study encourages the use of this combination therapy strategy in clinical settings for improved patient care.

KEYWORDS: Compression, Foam Sclerotherapy, Ultrasound guided, Venous Ulcers

- 1. Assistant Professor General Surgery, Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan.
- 2. Assistant Professor General Surgery, Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan.
- 3. Assistant Professor General Surgery, Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan.
- 4. Assistant Professor General Surgery, Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan.
- 5. Assistant Professor General Surgery, Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan.
- 6. Registrar Surgery, Kuwait Teaching Hospital/Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar,
- Pakistan.

(cc) (i) (i)

Corresponding Author: Dr. Shafi Ullah, Assistant Professor General Surgery, Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar, Pakistan. Email: Shafi_kmc2003@yahoo.com

How to Cite This Article: Ullah S¹, Tahir AA², Ishfaq M³, Bakhtiar N⁴, Subhan A⁵, Farooq M⁶. **CLINICAL OUTCOMES OF COMPRESSION ALONG WITH ULTRASOUND GUIDED FOAM SCLEROTHERAPY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF VENOUS ULCERS: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY AT TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, PESHAWAR.**

JPUMHS;2024:14:04,41-49. http://doi.org/10.46536/jpumhs/2024/14.04.559

Received On: 01 Nov 2024, Accepted On 15 December 2024, Published On 31 December 2024.

INTRODUCTION

Venous leg ulcer VLU is a clinical entity characterized by full-thickness skin defect, usually in the lower leg and ankle region, that is sustained by venous hypertension as a result of chronic venous illness and does not heal naturally as defined by the 2004 revision of the CEAP classification.¹ The majority of venous leg ulcers VLU's are considered chronic leg ulcers CLUs, which are prolonged leg wounds that do not heal after three months of proper care or do not heal completely after one year.² The most serious complication brought on by the chronic progression of venous insufficiency CVI is venous leg ulcersVLUs.3,4 Approximately, 70-90% of all chronic leg ulcers are formed due to CVI.⁵ 40% of individuals with VLUs have underlying deep vein thrombosis DVT, and the prevalence increases with age, with women suffering three times more than males.⁶ According to populationbased studies, the prevalence rate of venous ulcer ranges from 0.7% to 2.7%.⁷ Venous Ulcers have a poor tendency to

Venous Ulcers have a poor tendency to heal, thus treatment is still challenging. Concomitant risk factors include advanced age, obesity, the size of the ulcer, the length of the ulcer, and inadequate adherence to treatment. Standard wound care, compression therapy, and surgical or interventional management are the treatment options for VUs.^{8,9}The most accepted treatment option for chronic venous disorder is graduated compression therapy. The deep and superficial venous systems are intended to be compressed by the fabric's mechanical qualities, which underlying counteracts the then pathophysiologic venous hypertension. Endovenous methods such as radiofrequency ablation RFA, endovenous laser therapy EVLT, and ultrasoundguided foam sclerotherapy UGFS have been demonstrated to be as successful as open surgery in the management of venous ulcers and varicose veins.¹⁰ Ultrasoundguided foam sclerotherapy UGFS, high ligation and stripping, endovenous thermal or non-thermal ablation, and skin grafting of the ulcer are the interventions used to pathological epifascial treat reflux.¹¹Minimally invasive endovenous procedures incuding foam sclerotherapy have gained more attention recently as they don't require open surgical incisions and can be performed safely.¹² Foam sclerotherapy reduces the superficial incompetent vein's ability to contribute to chronic venous hypertension by the occluding the vein which is believed to be a significant cause of venous ulcers.¹³ In a group of 116 patients, Cabrera et al reported an 83% ulcer healing rate after six months of foam sclerotherapy.¹⁴ Work done by Bergan et al and collegues showed a group of 12 patients in which ulcers did not heal following compression treatment while after receiving foam sclerotherapy, nine of these patients recovered in two weeks and all of the patients recovered in six weeks indicating the potential of improved outcomes after utilizing foam sclerotherapy.¹⁵ According to a research

conducted by O'Hare et al., foam

sclerotherapy FST was successful in 75% of the 185 limbs with venous ulcers and severe venous illness. As a result, the authors concluded that FST was equally effective for both complicated and uncomplicated venous disease.¹⁶

This study aimed to evaluate the clinical outcomes of compression along with ultrasound guided foam sclerotherapy in the management of venous ulcers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS STUDY

DESIGN/SETTING/DURATION: This eighteen-month prospective observational study was conducted from July 2022 to December 2023 with follow up period of one year at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Peshawar. Data was collected from patients after the ethical approval was granted from the institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from each participant. Non-probability convenience sampling was used.

PATIENT SELECTION CRITERIA: Prospective assessments were conducted on patients with superficial truncal venous incompetence and active venous leg ulcers CEAP clinical class C6 diagnosed through clinical evaluation and findings from doppler ultrasound.

INCLUSION CRITERIA: The inclusion criteria of the study were as follow:

- The great saphenous vein GSV, small saphenous vein SSV, anterior accessory saphenous vein AASV, or any other big superficial vein with a considerable proximal deep venous connection must have >1 s retrograde flow on venous duplex imaging.
- No complete incompetence of the deep veins.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

The following participants were excluded from the study:

• 0.8 or less on the ankle brachial pressure index ABPI.

- Past history of pulmonary embolism PE or deep vein thrombosis DVT.
- Inadequately managed diabetes mellitus.
- Rheumatoid arthritis
- Malignancy
- Current use of anticoagulants

PRE-INTERVENTION DATA COLLECTION:

Baseline clinical. imaging, and demographic data were gathered before the intervention. The location, size, length, and indications of infection of the ulcer were noted together with demographic information such as age, gender, and medical history. Measurements of the Ankle Brachial Pressure Index ABPI was carried out to rule out peripheral artery disease. Past DVT or PE. diabetic management, rheumatoid arthritis, cancer, immobility, and current anticoagulant use were all included in the medical history.

INTERVENTION COMPRESSION AND ULTRASOUND GUIDED FOAM SCLEROTHERAPY

Patient was first examined in standing position and all visible varicosities were marked. In lying position, affected limb was cleaned with pyodine. Varicosities were cannulated using butterfly G-19 needles and fixed. After cannulating all varicosities under ultrasound elevated.Maximum guidance,limb was upto 20cc of foam using sodium tetradecyl sulphate was used.Sodium tetradecyl sulphate was mixed with air in 1:4 using tessari method. Prophylactic use of enoxaparin was given post sclerotherapy and compression dressings were applied.

FOLLOW UP: For a period of one years, patients were followed up at regular intervals of 1 month,6 month and 1 year. Treatment complications, ulcer recurrence, ulcer healing status, and any adverse events were noted during follow-up visits. The Doppler ultrasonography test was conducted again at predetermined intervals

to evaluate venous reflux and track the effectiveness of treatment.

OUTCOME MEASURES PRIMARY OUTCOMES: The time frame from the intervention to the complete healing of the ulcer and the rate of ulcer recurrence throughout the one-year follow-up period were the main outcome measures.

SECONDARY OUTCOMES: Reduction in the size of the ulcer, improvement in venous insufficiency, and patient-reported quality of life outcomes were the secondary outcome measures of our study.

DATA ANALYSIS: SPSS version 26 was used for the statistical analysis. The categorical demographic variables were reported using frequency and percentages while continuous variables were assessed by reporting means and standard deviation. Shapiro Wilk test was utilized to determine the normality of continuous variables. The association between categorical demographic variables and primary outcome were determined using chi square test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

PATIENT **DEMOGRAPHICS:** The study included 33 individuals aged 40-50. with a mean age of 43 ± 5 years. The gender distribution was 19 men 57.6% and 14 women 42.4%. Manual labourers 15 patients, 45.5%, office workers 10 patients, 30.3%, and other jobs 8 patients, 24.2% were among the occupations reported by patients. The BMI categories were as follows: normal weight BMI 18.5-24.9 in 10 patients 30.3%, overweight BMI 25-29.9 in 15 patients 45.5%, and obese BMI \geq 30 in 8 patients 24.2%. Before intervention, the ulcers mean duration was of 10 ± 4 months. The lower leg was the most prevalent ulcer site 20 patients, 60.6%, followed by the ankle 10 patients, 30.3% and the foot 3 patients, 9.1%. Sixteen ulcers 48.5% were placed laterally, whereas seventeen 51.5% were located medially.

Demographics	Frequency Percentage	and
Gender		
Male	19 57.6%	
Female	14 42.4%	
Occupation		
Manual Laborer	15 45.5%	
Office Worker	10 30.3%	
Other Occupations	8 24.2%	
BMI Categories		
Normal Weight	10 30.3%	
Overweight	15 45.5%	
Obese	8 24.2%	

Table 1: Patient Demographics

ULCER CHARACTERISTICS:

Before therapy, ulcers lasted an average of 10 ± 4 months. The ulcers were primarily found on the lower leg 60.6% and ankle 30.3%.48.5% of the ulcers were laterally situated, while 51.5% were medially positioned. Ulcers averaged 4 ± 1 cm in diameter.

Table2:DistributionofUlcerCharacteristics:

Ulcer	Frequence	cy and
Characteristics	Percenta	ge
Duration months	10 ± 4	
Location Site		
Lower Leg	20 60.6%	
Ankle	10 30.3%	
Lateral	16 48.5%	
Medial	17 51.5%	
Mean Diameter cm	4 ± 1	
IMPROVEMENT	IN	VENOUS

ULCER

By the end of the one-year follow-up period, 26 patients 78.8% had fully healed, indicating a significant improvement in ulcer status. Three patients 9.1% had incomplete healing, with ulcers showing moderate improvement but not fully healing. Four patients 12.1% had unhealed ulcers, indicating no progress during the research period. Ulcer recurrence was reported in one patient 4% who had

previously healed ulcers. The healed ulcers had an average size reduction of 80% \pm 5%. The average time for healing was $14 \pm$ 3 weeks.

Patient-reported outcomes showed significant improvement in quality of life ratings, with an average increase from 40.5 to 75.2 on the quality of life questionnaire, emphasizing the treatment approach's overall value.

Improvement Parameters	FrequencyandPercentage/Average Value
Complete Healing	26 78.8%
Incomplete Healing	3 9.1%
Unhealed Ulcers	4 12.1%
Recurrence	14%
Percentage Reduction in Ulcer Size	80% ± 5%
Time to Healing weeks	14 ± 3
Quality of Life Score	Mean increase from 40.5 to 75.2

Table 3: Improvement in Venous Ulcer

COMPLICATIONS AND SIDE **EFFECTS**

Minor allergic reactions 2 patients, 6.1%, superficial thrombophlebitis 1 patient, 3.0%, and changes in skin pigmentation 1 patient, 3.0% were among the complications. All issues were treated conservatively, with no serious negative consequences.

Table 4: Complications and Side Effects			
Complicat	ions and	Frequency and	
Side Effec	ts	Percentage	
Minor	Allergic	2 6.1%	
Reactions		2 0.1%	
Superficial		1 3.0%	
Thrombophlebitis		1 5.0%	
Skin	Pigmentation	1 3.0%	
Changes		1 3.070	

ASSOCIATION OF **ULCER** HEALING TIME WITH DIFFERENT VARIABLES

Older patients >45 years had substantially longer healing durations for ulcers, with an average of 16 ± 4 weeks compared to $12 \pm$ 2 weeks in younger patients p < 0.05. Large ulcers ≥ 5 cm took 18 ± 5 weeks to heal, while tiny ulcers <5 cm took 10 ± 2 weeks p < 0.01. Higher BMI categories overweight and obese also led to delayed healing. While manual labourers had longer recovery periods than office workers and other occupations, the difference was not statistically significant.

Tuble 2. Absociation of Creef Heating Time with Different variables				
Variables	Subcategories	Mean Healing Time weeks	P-value	
Age	<45 years	12 ± 2	0.03	
	>45 years	16 ± 4		
BMI Category	Normal Weight	10 ± 2	0.001	
	Overweight/Obese	18 ± 5		
Ulcer Size	Small <5 cm	10 ± 2	0.005	
	Large ≥5 cm	18 ± 5		
Occupation	Manual Laborer	14±7	0.75	

 12 ± 2

Table 5: Association of Ulcer Healing Time with Different Variables

Office Worker

DISCUSSION

This two-year prospective study evaluated the clinical effects of compression therapy combined with ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy in the treatment of venous ulcers.Our study included 33 patients, with an average age of 43 ± 5 years. The majority of ulcers were seen on the lower leg, and on medial side. The findings show a considerable increase in ulcer healing, with 78.8% of ulcers fully healed at the end of the follow-up period, a significant ulcer reduction in size, and an improvement in patient quality of life. Our findings showed that 78.8% of patients had completely healed their venous ulcers at the conclusion of the oneyear follow-up period, which is consistent with earlier research demonstrating the efficacy of compression therapy combined with ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy. A study conducted by Toni Pihlaja and colleagues assessed the outcomes of foam sclerotherapy at three different intervals, the results of which demonstrated that the completely healed ulcers at one month post treatment were 108 patients 81% ,131 98% at one year follow up while 12392% patients had completely healed ulces when assessed at two years post intervention .¹⁷ A much higher rate of healing in 3085.7% was reported by weber et al in a comparative study between the outcomes of endovenous laser ablation and ultrasound guided foam sclerotherapy.¹⁸ Our study found an average healing duration of 14 \pm 3 weeks, which is comparatively higher than the 10-weeks average reported by Zeyada et al. 2022.¹⁹ Another study conducted in Finland 2020 also reported the median time of healing to be 2.3 weeks.²⁰ months approximately 10 Differences in ulcer severity, the type of sclerosing agent utilized, and the postprocedure care could all be contributing factors to variations in healing rates and healing duration among different studies. These consistent positive findings the efficacy of foam demonstrate sclerotherapy guided by ultrasonography in the management of venous leg ulcers.In consistent with our findings of ulcer recurrence rate 1 4% ,Pang et al 2010 and Zhu et al 2020 also reported similar recurrence rates of 4% and 3%.^{21,22}

Several processes that address the underlying pathophysiology of chronic

venous insufficiency are responsible for the improvement of venous ulcers during foam sclerotherapy. In order to improve sclerosing agent contact and efficacy with the venous walls, foam sclerotherapy entails injecting the agent in a foamed form, usually polidocanol or sodium tetradecyl sulfate.²³ By displacing blood within the vein, the foam enables the sclerosant to directly target the endothelium lining. This causes endothelial damage, which is followed by an inflammatory reaction and fibrosis, which ultimately causes the treated vein to obliterate.^{24,25} Foam sclerotherapy decreases hypertension venous and enhances venous return by sealing up incompetent veins, which is essential for halting the development and symptoms of venous ulcers. The extravasation of inflammatory mediators and proteins that aid in the development and maintenance of ulcers is reduced as a result of this drop in venous pressure. Furthermore, enhanced circulation facilitates venous wound healing by enhancing the transport of nutrients and oxygen to the injured tissues. ²⁶ Together, these benefits support reepithelialization, lessen ulcer size, and eventually aid in ulcer healing. Thus, these underlying mechanisms can account for the clinical effects we observed in our study.

Our study's complications included minor allergic reactions in 6.1% of patients, superficial thrombophlebitis in 3.0%, and changes in skin pigmentation in 3.0% of the patients. In contrast, a study by Thomasset et al. 20 that included 126 patients with UGFS showed higher problems. Skin staining occurred in 28% of cases, superficial thrombophlebitis in 18%, discomfort in 14% of cases, and skin of cases.²⁷All blistering in 1% complications in our study were addressed conservatively with no major side effects, which is consistent with the conservative management measures indicated in the literature. The absence of serious negative

outcomes adds to the safety profile of this combined therapy strategy.

According to our research, the average healing time for venous ulcers was shown to be substantially longer in older patients >45 years than in younger patients 12 ± 2 weeks; p < 0.05. Our study also showed that higher BMI categories-overweight and obese-were linked to longer healing periods These results are consistent with earlier study by Joseph D. Raffetto 2020, which found that aging-related reductions system in immune and cellular regeneration result in longer healing durations in older people. The study also highlighted some other factors responsible for delayed healing of venous ulcers including obesity, nutritional deficiencies, cooler temperatures, previous venous disease and increased wound area.²⁸Similar to a study conducted in 2020, the results of which illustrated that larger ulcer size is a predictor of delayed healing due to increased tissue damage and greater need for revascularization, large ulcers ≥ 5 cm in our study took 18 \pm 5 weeks to heal, whereas smaller ulcers <5cm healed in 10 ± 2 weeks p < 0.01.²⁹

The small sample size and single-center methodology of this study could limit the generalizability of the results. Additionally, long-term outcomes like ulcer recurrence and persistent improvements in quality of life may not be sufficiently captured during the follow-up period. Potential biases could affect the outcomes, such as patient adherence to treatment plans and subjective reporting of quality of life. To confirm and build on these results, future investigations should concentrate on multicentre trials with larger and more varied populations as well as longer follow-up times. Developing advanced imaging techniques and investigating molecular and genetic markers that impact therapy response could improve individualized treatment plans for venous ulcers.

The results of this study concluded that, treating venous ulcers with compression therapy and ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy together significantly improves healing rates, reduces ulcer size, and enhances patient quality of life. The beneficial result of this study encourages the use of this combination therapy strategy in clinical settings for improved patient care.

ETHICS APPROVAL: The ERC gave ethical review approval.

CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE: written and verbal consent was taken from subjects and next of kin.

FUNDING: The work was not financially supported by any organization. The entire expense was taken by the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We are thankful to all who were involved in our study.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS:

All persons who meet authorship as authors, and all criteria are listed authors certify that they have participated in the work to take public responsibility of this manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: No competing interest declared

REFERENCES

- Stanek A, Mosti G, Nematillaevich TS, Valesky EM, Planinšek Ručigaj T, Boucelma M, Marakomichelakis G, Liew A, Fazeli B, Catalano M, Patel M. No more venous ulcers—What more can we do?. Journal of clinical medicine. 2023 Sep 23;1219:6153.
- Kahle B, Hermanns HJ, Gallenkemper G. Evidence-based treatment of chronic leg ulcers. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International. 2011 Apr;10814:231.
- 3. Finlayson K, Wu ML, Edwards HE. Identifying risk factors and protective factors for venous leg ulcer recurrence using a theoretical approach: a

CONCLUSION

longitudinal study. International journal of nursing studies. 2015 Jun 1;526:1042-51.

- Hess CT. Venous ulcer assessment and management: using the updated CEAP Classification System. Advances in skin & wound care. 2020 Nov 1;3311:614-5.
- 5. Sen CK, Gordillo GM, Roy S, Kirsner R, Lambert L, Hunt TK, Gottrup F, Gurtner GC, Longaker MT. Human skin wounds: a major and snowballing threat to public health and the economy. Wound repair and regeneration. 2009 Nov;176:763-71.
- Kolluri R, Lugli M, Villalba L, Varcoe R, Maleti O, Gallardo F, Black S, Forgues F, Lichtenberg M, Hinahara J, Ramakrishnan S. An estimate of the economic burden of venous leg ulcers associated with deep venous disease. Vascular Medicine. 2022 Feb;271:63-72.
- Lal BK. Venous ulcers of the lower extremity: definition, epidemiology, and economic and social burdens. InSeminars in vascular surgery 2015 Mar 1 Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 3-5. WB Saunders.
- Deol ZK, Lakhanpal S, Franzon G, Pappas PJ. Effect of obesity on chronic venous insufficiency treatment outcomes. Journal of Vascular Surgery: Venous and Lymphatic Disorders. 2020 Jul 1;84:617-28.
- 9. Gohel MS, Taylor M, Earnshaw JJ, Heather BP, Poskitt KR, Whyman MR. Risk factors for delayed healing and recurrence of chronic venous leg ulcers—an analysis of 1324 legs. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery. 2005 Jan 1;291:74-7.
- 10. Alden PB, Lips EM, Zimmerman KP, Garberich RF, Rizvi AZ, Tretinyak AS, Alexander JQ, Dorr KM, Hutchinson M, Isakson SL. Chronic venous ulcer: minimally invasive treatment of superficial axial and perforator vein reflux speeds healing

and reduces recurrence. Annals of vascular surgery. 2013 Jan 1;271:75-83.

- Alavi A, Sibbald RG, Phillips TJ, Miller OF, Margolis DJ, Marston W, Woo K, Romanelli M, Kirsner RS. What's new: Management of venous leg ulcers: Treating venous leg ulcers. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 2016 Apr 1;744:643-64.
- 12. Weber B, Marquart E, Deinsberger J, Tzaneva S, Böhler K. Comparative analysis of endovenous laser ablation versus ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy for the treatment of venous leg ulcers. Dermatologic Therapy. 2022 Apr;354:e15322.
- O'Hare JL, Earnshaw JJ. Randomised clinical trial of foam sclerotherapy for patients with a venous leg ulcer. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery. 2010 Apr 1;394:495-9.
- 14. Cabrera J, Redondo P, Becerra A, Garrido C, Cabrera Jr J, García-Olmedo MA, Sierra A, Lloret P, Martínez-González MA. Ultrasoundguided injection of polidocanol microfoam in the management of venous leg ulcers. Archives of dermatology. 2004 Jun 1;1406:667-73.
- 15. Bergan J, Pascarella L, Mekenas L. Venous disorders: treatment with sclerosant foam. Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery. 2006 Feb 1;471:9.
- 16. O'Hare JL, Stephens J, Parkin D, Earnshaw JJ. Randomized clinical trial of different bandage regimens after foam sclerotherapy for varicose veins. Journal of British Surgery. 2010 May;975:650-6.
- 17. Pihlaja T, Kosunen E, Ohtonen P, Pokela M. Sub-ulcer Foam Sclerotherapy in Patients with Venous Leg Ulcer, Analysis and Technical Aspects of 134 Consecutive Patients. The International Journal of Lower Extremity Wounds. 2024 Apr 4:15347346241245765.

- 18. Weber B, Marquart E, Deinsberger J, Tzaneva S, Böhler K. Comparative analysis of endovenous laser ablation versus ultrasound-guided foam sclerotherapy for the treatment of venous leg ulcers. Dermatologic Therapy. 2022 Apr;354:e15322.
- 19. Zeyada MM, Soliman M, Ibrahem IM, Tawfeek HA. Foam Sclerotherapy of Incompetent Perforators with Compression Versus Compression alone for Treatment of Chronic Venous Leg Ulcers. Zagazig University Medical Journal. 2022 Mar 1;282:252-9
- 20. Pihlaja T, Torro P, Ohtonen P, Romsi P, Pokela M. Ten years of experience with first-visit foam sclerotherapy to initiate venous ulcer healing. Journal of Vascular Surgery: Venous and Lymphatic Disorders. 2021 Jul 1;94:954-60.
- 21. Pang KH, Bate GR, Darvall KA, Adam DJ, Bradbury AW. Healing and recurrence rates following ultrasoundguided foam sclerotherapy of superficial venous reflux in patients with chronic venous ulceration. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery. 2010 Dec 1;406:790-5.
- 22. Zhu Y, Wu D, Sun D, Song K, Li J, Lin J. Ultrasound-and fluoroscopyguided foam sclerotherapy for lower extremity venous ulcers. Journal of Vascular Surgery: Venous and Lymphatic Disorders. 2020 Sep 1;85:783-8.
- 23. Weiss MA, Hsu JT, Neuhaus I, Sadick

NS, Duffy DM. Consensus for sclerotherapy. Dermatologic Surgery. 2014 Dec 1;4012:1309-18.

- 24. McNamara SA, Cahn BA, Sanders M, Lopez AJ. Venous Procedures to Treat Venous Leg Ulcers. Current Dermatology Reports. 2020 Dec;9:277-85.
- Beneat A, Oropallo A. Sclerotherapy. InStatPearls [Internet] 2024 Jan 11. StatPearls Publishing.
- 26. Bergan J, Cheng V. Foam sclerotherapy for the treatment of varicose veins. Vascular. 2007 Oct 1;155:269-72.
- 27. Thomasset SC, Butt Z, Liptrot S, Fairbrother BJ, Makhdoomi KR. Ultrasound guided foam sclerotherapy: factors associated with outcomes and complications. European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery. 2010 Sep 1;403:389-92.
- 28. Raffetto JD, Ligi D, Maniscalco R, Khalil RA, Mannello F. Why venous leg ulcers have difficulty healing: overview on pathophysiology, clinical consequences, and treatment. Journal of clinical medicine. 2020 Dec 24;101:29.
- 29. Lawrence PF, Hager ES, Harlander-Locke MP, Pace N, Jayaraj A, Yohann A, Kalbaugh C, Marston W, Kabnick L, Saqib N, Pouliot S. Treatment of superficial and perforator reflux and deep venous stenosis improves healing of chronic venous leg ulcers. Journal of Surgery: Vascular Venous and Jul Disorders. Lymphatic 2020 1;84:601-9.