PREVALENCE OF WORK-RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS AMONG LABORATORY WORKERS

Syed Murtaza Ali¹ Mahak Memon², Zunaira ³, Archina ⁴, Irum ⁵, Asma ⁶, Marish Memon⁷

OBJECTIVES: To determine the prevalence of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among laboratory workers. METHODOLOGY: This cross-sectional study design was used from November 2019 to January 2020, among randomly selected laboratory workers. Data was collected using standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (SNMQ) and Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) to determine the prevalence of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Symptoms and pain intensity along with participants' demographic data, among laboratory workers. Data was analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social sciences (SPSS) version 20 and summarized by descriptive statics, which were presented using frequency tables and expressed as percentages, mean+SD. RESULTS: In this study we found 38% prevalence of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSDs) among laboratory workers. Moreover, ankles/feet turned out to be the most symptomatic region with prevalence of 0.7% followed by neck and upper back being more common among male 131(87.3%), laboratory technicians 121(81.3%), with mean age of 34.65(11.82%). In our study, most of the participants (63.3%) were having mild pain and 4% had severe pain. CONCLUSION: In conclusion, high prevalence of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Pain, affecting one or both ankles/feet, neck, and upper back. Most of the study participants reported having mild pain.

KEYWORDS: Standardized Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire, Numeric pain rating scale, Statistical package for social sciences

- 1. Assisstant professor, IPRS, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 2. Assistant Professor Dept of Biochemistry, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 3. House Officer, IPRS, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 4. House Officer, IPRS, PUMHSW, SBA.
- 5. House Officer, IPRS, PUMHSW, SBA.6. House Officer, IPRS, PUMHSW, SBA.
- Lecturer, IPRS, PUMHSW, SBA.

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE: Ali SM¹ Memon M², Zunaira³, Archina⁴, Irum⁵, Asma⁶, Memon M⁷. PREVALENCE OF WORK-RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS AMONG LABORATORY WORKERS. JPUMHS; 2021, 11(02);92-96.

http://doi.org/10.46536/jpumhs/2021/11.02.301

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Marish Memon, Lecturer, IPRS, PUMHSW, SBA. marishmemon@gmail.com

Received Dec 23, 2020, Accepted On 15TH June 2021, Published On 30TH June 2021.

INTRODUCTION

The technology which is used in medical labs is one of the most important health care branch. Technicians who are working in labs are exceptionalgroup of health care professionals who are at high risk for developing musculoskeletal problems and are at the most frequent health issue faced by working population are musculoskeletal disorders. which are also linked with more disability than any other diseases.² Musculoskeletal disorders not only attack on person's ability to work and function but also exert an financial influence on the work place health system and community.³ Musculoskeletal disorder is describe as feeling of discomfort, difficulty or pain in the musculoskeletal systems(joint, muscle, tendon) or soft tissue of the body due to repetitive and irregular movement or long term poor body posture and also define as an extensive range of inflammatory conditions.⁴ Worldwide, the musculoskeletal problems are very common.⁵ lab pathologist, whichincludes microbiologist, biochemist and technicians are at high risk for developing musculoskeletal problems like lower back and shoulder injuries

and even other joints and muscles .6 Laboratory technicians are reported to have high level of strain in neck and shoulders owing to prolonged static loading which leads to high prevalence of neck pain, shoulder pain, elbow pain, hand /wrist pain, upper back, lower back and ankle/foot.7-Prealence of musculoskeletal problems in those using microscope for 11-15 years was found in maximum numbers, while those groups using microscopes for more than 15 years reported smaller numbers.9 As indicated by Word Health Organization (WHO) reports, laboratory workersare prone to work related muscular problems which causes high occurances in pain related to neck, hand and shoulder. Neck pain is associated with poorposture. worldwide occurance of musculoskeletal problems occur from 14% to 42% ¹⁰ Female are at high risk and increased working hours may further increase to the occuranceof musculoskeletal problems¹¹⁻¹² InIranand India, the prevalence of laboratory work-related musculoskeletal disorders reported between 72% and 80%. Increased sites of musculoskeletal problems in lab workers areas back (31% to 43%) and neck (18% to 33%) 12-13 laboratory workers are reported to have increased

level of neck and shoulders strain due to constant loading those results in increased prevalence of shoulder and neck pain. ¹⁴ In studies from America ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ Ethiopia ¹⁷ Sweden ¹⁸ Switzerland 11 reported that neck and back are vulnerable sites. The shoulder (58%to60%), upperback (25% to 57%) and hand/wrists (28% to 57%) were also recorded with increased involvement in pipetting and microscope activities. 11,15,16,18 This study aims to estimate the prevalenceof reported musculoskeletal symptoms among laboratory workers with regard to theirwork- related physical factors. There is lack of literature available on the health care profession particularly inmedical laboratories .Laboratory workers during their training who may be at increased risk initially in their carrier According to our knowledge only few studies have been conducted on prevalence of Work- Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among laboratory workers. So, the results of our study will therefore, helps to identify the occurance of disorders related to Musculoskeletal in literature and to improve quality of life among laboratory workers of Hyderabad and Nawabshah. It willsupport the existing literature and can further help in finding risk factors to which laboratory workers are exposed.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research was conducted during Nov 2019 to January 2020 at laboratories of nawabshah and Hyderabad in Sindh province of Pakistan, and comprised laboratory technicians and all of them (n=150) participated voluntarily. those included were both male and female gender. And Laboratory workers e.g., Pathologist, biochemist, microbiologist, technicians. Age 18 to 65 years. Those who were not working in laboratories and known cases of Previous back pain history, Previous back injuries, Previous surgeries, and Previous disabilities were excluded. Approval for the study was obtained fromthe institutional ethics committee (letter No/PUMHS/IPRS/ORS/ 3111/10) and consent was taken from all the participants. Participants filled a questionnaires, standardized musculoskeletal questionnaire and numeric painwritingscale. Prior to the inclusion in the study, all subjects signed an informed consent. All the participants were given a detailed description of the study, and their anonymity and data confidentiality was garranted and it took approximately 20-30 minutes. Simple structured standardized Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire was used for this study. Our questionnaire was divided into three sections: The first section was on the sociodemographic proforma of the laboratory workers age, gender and lab specialty (microbiologist, pathologist, biochemist, technicians). The second section includes standardized Nordic musculoskeletal disorder questionnaire which is used for scanning musculoskeletal problems and epidemiologic studies for the general complains shoulder/neck, upper/lower backand other body regions. It also indicates the symptoms in these

nine areas of body. There are two types of questionnaire general and specific questionnaire. The general questionnaire was used in present study which is binary response questionnaire and consistof27questions.Thepermissiontouse questionnaire from the author through mail. The third section includes Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) which ranges from 0 to 10, 0 shows no pain, while1-3 showsmild pain, and 4-6 shows moderate pain, while7-10 represent severe pain. Data was entered in Microsoft Excel Sheets and analyzed by using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version-20. Demographic data was calculated by descriptive statistics which standard (mean, deviation and percentages) and Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire to determine the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders

RESULTS

The results of our research project are presented in 3 sections. The first section includes Demographic information. The second section includes the occuranceof Muscles related problems in lab staff, through the Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (SNMQ). The third section consists of Numeric pain rating scale (NPRS) through which we had determined pain. The 12 months prevalence of Work-related Musculoskeletal disorders WMSDs was found to be 57(38%) with pain in one orboth ankles/ feet while only 1(0.7%) had pain in leftelbow.

Table 4.1: Subjects information

VARIABLE	MEAN	SD
Age	34.65	11.82
	FREQUENCY	(%)
Gender		
Male	131	87.3%
Female	19	12.7%
Lab specialty		
Microbiologist	10	6.7%
Pathologist	13	8.7%
Biochemist	5	3.3%
Laboratory technicians	122	81.3%

DISCUSSION

Several occupations have increased risk of muscles associated problems. Lab staff like doing pipetting work reported increased occurance of pain related to neck, shoulder, elbow, back, and hand¹⁹. Mechanism for workrelated musculoskeletal disorders involves tissue injury, recurrent ofinflammation that further lead to recurrent pain, muscle diseases, and dysfunction of fascia. 20,21,22,23,24 ligament and

Table 4.2: Occurance of work- related muscular problems in Lab staff

	Problems within 1	Last 12 months n (%)		
Body regions	Yes		No	
	Frequency	percentage	frequency	Percentage
Neck	53	35.3%	97	64.7%
Shoulders	24	16%	105	70%
	7	4.7%		
	14	9.3%		
Elbows	10	6.7%	13	90.7%
	1	0.7%		
	3	2.0%		
Wrists/hands	28	18.7%	105	70%
	9	6.0%		
	8	5.3%		
Upper back	53	35.3%	97	64.7%
Lower back	50	33.3%	100	66.7%
One or both	25	16.7%	125	83.3%
Hips/thighs				
One or both knees	49	32.7%	101	67.3%
One or both	57	38%	93	62%
ankles/feet				

Table 4.3: Occurance of activity compromised in lab staff.

Body regions	Problem Preventing daily activities N (%)			
	Frequency	percentage	frequency	Percentage
Neck	16	10.7%	134	89.3%
Shoulders	9	6%	141	94%
Elbows	3	2%	147	98%
Wrists/hands	12	8%	138	92%
Upper back	17	11.3%	133	88%
Lower back	16	10.7%	134	89.3%
One or both	9	6%	141	94%
Hips/thighs				
One or both knees	16	10.7%	134	89.3%
One or both	14	9.3%	136	90.7%
ankles/feet				

Table 4.4: Occurance of work related muscular problems in laboratory staff

Body areas	vithin Last 7 days N (%)			
	Yes		No	
	Frequency	percentage	frequency	Percentage
Neck	25	16.7%	125	83.3%
Shoulders	17	11.3%	133	88.7%
Elbows	9	6%	141	94%
Wrists/hands	16	10.7%	134	89.3%
Upper back	27	18%	123	82%
Lower back	30	20%	120	8%
One or both Hips/thighs	12	8%	138	92%
One or both knees	26	17.3%	124	82.7%
One or both ankles/feet	43	28.7%	107	71.3%

Table 4.5 Prevalence of pain in laboratory workers.

CATEGORY	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
No pain	15	10%
Mild pain	95	63.3%
Moderate pain	34	22.7%
Severe pain	6	4%

Therefore, the aim of our study was to find out the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among laboratory workers in different laboratories of Nawabshah, Hyderabad and Tando Muhammad khan through this cross-sectionalstudy. According to findings of

present study, the overall 12 months prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was 90% with highest prevalence in one or both ankles/feet 57(38%) followed by neck 53(35.5%) and upper back 53(35.5%). In present study the results indicate the greater prevalence of WRMSD in laboratory

technicians which is about (81.3%) as compare to other laboratory workers, being more common in males (87.3%). These findings are supported by previous study conducted in Ethiopia where (21.7%) prevalence of ankle/feet pain founded in 156 laboratory staff [25]. Another study determined the similar prevalence of neck pain (33.3%) among pathologist (chart) and in Sweden the prevalence of upper back pain was (25 to 57 %) among laboratory technicians ¹⁸. So far, to the best of our knowledge, no previously study conducted was Pakistan that determining prevalence of WRMSD among laboratory technicians. The occrance of work-related muscular disorders had been studied in different parts of the world. Among Indian medical labtechnicians, themajority of study population 66.9% had the most commonly affectedbodyregionsamongwhichtheupper back is about (11.5%), neck (18.4%) and ankle/feet (6.9%) ²⁶. Furthermore, a study from Iran reported that the prevalence of WRMSD is about between (72% and 80%) among laboratory workers and the more prominent sites of musculoskeletal problems were lower back (31% to 43%) and neck (18% to 33%) ^{1,13}.In previous study the global reference to musculoskeletal symptoms in at least one anatomic segment was 86.7% in the last 12 months and 70.2% in the last 7 days regardless of the activity performed by workers.²⁷ According to (WHO) global occurance of muscular problems varies from 14% to 42% ¹². Such findings have shownthat WRMSD prevalence is high throughout the world leading to decreased QOL. In addition to lowering the quality of worker life and reducing productivity, WRMSDs are the most expensive form of work disability, attributing approximately 40% of all costs toward the treatment of work-related injuries and workrelated MSDs has a huge impact, emerging as an increasing problem in our modern societies. ²⁸ and there is very limited literature on the WRMSDs among laboratory workers in Pakistan and this should be addressed in future studies.A total of 150 participants are approached rangingin age from 18 to 65 years, with mean age of participants was 34.65yrs. Out of 150 respondent, 131 (87.3%) were 122 (81.3%) participants males. laboratory technicians and only 5 (3.3%) were biochemist.(Table 4.1) Seventeen (11.3%) participants had trouble during their work in past 12 months due to pain in upper back while only 3(2%) participants had trouble due to elbow pain Majority of our study participants had trouble in one or both ankles/ feet 43(28.7%) during their work in last 7 days while only 9(6%) had trouble due to elbow pain. In our study most of the participants were having 95(63.3%) mild pain while 6(4%) had severe pain. To the best of our knowledge there is no any study conducted in Pakistan before which determine the work-related musculoskeletal disorders specifically among laboratory workers. So, this study will support

and contribute in literature to investigate this subject further. However, there are some limitations of this study firstly, the study comprises small sample size and data was collected only from Nawabshah, Hyderabad and Tando Mohammad Khan

CONCLUSION

This study provides detailed information on the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among laboratory workers. In conclusion we found a high prevalence among laboratory workers of Nawabshah, Hyderabad and Tando Mohammad Khan with highest frequency of muscular problems noted in one or both ankles/ feet followed by neck and upper back and lowest prevalence in elbow. As present study we have only determined the occurancemuscular problems in lab workers and there may be other related factors like age, obesity, gender, biomechanical loading which cause muscular problems. futureresearch must consider these factors and interactions that may occur between them.

DATA AVAILABILITY: data will be available on request. ETHICS APPROVAL: The ERC gave ethical review approval . **CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE:** written and verbal consent was taken from subjects and next of kin. FUNDING: The work was not financially supported by any organization. The entire expense was taken by the authors. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** We would like to thank the all contributors and staff and other persons for providing useful information. **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS:** persons who meet authorship criteria are listed as authors, and all authors certify that they have participated in the work to take public responsibility of this manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.. **CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** No competing interest declared.

REFRENCES

- Maulik S, De A, Iqbal R, editors. Work related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory technicians. 2012 Southeast Asian Network of Ergonomics Societies Conference (SEANES); 2012: IEEE.
- Australia SW. Statistics on work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Canberra: SafeWork Australia.2016.
- 3. FritzscheFR, Ramach C, s D, Caduff R, Tinguely M, Cassoly E, et al. Occupational health risks of pathologists-results from a nationwide online questionnaire in Switzerland. BMC Public Health. 2012; 12:1054
- 4. Andersen LL, Mortensen OS, Hansen JV, BurrH. Aprospective cohortstudy on severe pain as a risk factor for long-term sickness absence in blue and white-collar workers. Occup Environ Med. 2011;68(8):590-2.

- 5. Pollack R. Dental office ergonomics: how to reduce stress factors and increase efficiency. J Can Dent Assoc.1996;62(6):508-10
- AlipourA, Ghaffari M, Jensen I, Shariati B, Vingard E. Reliability and validity study of Persian modified version of MUSIC (musculoskeletal intervention center)— Norrtalje questionnaire. BMC MusculoskeletDisord.2007;8(1):88
- CDC Laboratory Ergonomics. URL available from http://ehs.uky.edu/docs/pdf/ohs_lab_ergon o mics_00 01.pdf. Accessed 22 November 2018.
- 8. Björksten MG, Almby B, Jansson ES. Hand and shoulder ailments among laboratory technicians using modern plunger-operated pipettes. Appl Ergon.1994;25(2):88-94.
- 9. Sillanpää J, Nyberg M, Laippala P. A new table for work with a microscope, asolution to ergonomic problems. Appl Ergon. 2003;34(6):621-8.
- 10. Flavin RJ, Guerin M, O'Briain DS. Occupational problems with microscopy in the pathology laboratory. Virchows Archiv. 2010;457(4):509-11.
- 11. Patel H, Pandya U. J Nov Physiother. 2017.
- Woolf AD, Pfleger B. Burden of major musculoskeletal conditions. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2003;81:646-56.
- 13. Sadeghian F, KasaeianA, Noroozi P, Vatani J, Hassan Taiebi S. Psychosocial and individual characteristics and musculoskeletal complaints among clinical laboratory workers. Int J OccupSaf Ergon. 2014;20(2):355-61.
- 14. KalavarSS, Hunting KL. Musculoskeletal symptoms among cytotechnologists. Laboratory Medicine.1996;27(11):765-9.
- 15. Thompson SK, Mason E, Dukes S. Ergonomics and cytotechnologists: reported musculoskeletal discomfort.Diagnostic 2003;29(6):364-7.
- 16. Yelin EH, Felts WR. A summary of the impact of musculoskeletal conditions in the United States. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology.1990;33(5):750-5.
- 17. Yelin EH, Henke CJ, Epstein WV. Work disability among persons with musculoskeletal conditions. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology. 1986;29(11):1322-33.
- 18. Björksten MG, Almby B, Jansson ES.

- Hand and shoulder ailments among laboratory technicians using modern plunger-operated pipettes. Appl Ergon. 1994;25:88-94.
- 19. David G, Buckle P. A questionnaire survey of the ergonomie problems associated with pipettes and their usage with specific reference to workrelated upper limb disorders. Appl Ergon. 1997;28(4):257-62.
- 20. Barbe MF, Barr AE. Inflammation and the pathophysiology of work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Brain, behavior, and immunity.2006;20(5):423-9.
- 21. Gerdle B, Hilgenfeldt U, Larsson B, Kristiansen J, SøgaardK, Rosendal L. Bradykinin and kallidin levels in the trapezius muscle in patients withworkrelated trapezius myalgia, in patients with whiplash associated pain, and in healthy controls—a microdialysis study of women. Pain. 2008;139(3):57887.
- 22. Larsson B, Rosendal L, Kristiansen J, Sjøgaard G, SøgaardK, Ghafouri B, et al. Responses of algesic and metabolic substances to 8 h of repetitive manual work in myalgic human trapezius muscle. Pain. 2008;140(3):47990.
- 23. Millar N,Wei A,Molloy T,Bonar F,MurrellG. Cytokines and apoptosis in supraspinatus tendinopathy. J Bone Joint surg Br. 2009;91(3):417-24.
- 24. Yelin EH, Henke CJ, Epstein WV. Work disability among persons with musculoskeletal conditions. Arthritis & Rheumatism: Official Journal of the American College of Rheumatology. 1986;29(11):1322-33.
- 25. Penkala S, EL-Debal H, CoxonK. Work-related musculoskeletal problems related to laboratory training in university medical science students: A cross sectional survey. BMC Public Health.2018;18:1208
- 26. Maulik Sh, Iqbal R. Occupational health and musculoskeletal symptoms among Indian Medical Laboratory technicians. Journal of Occupational Health and Epidemiology 2013;2(3):82-92.
- 27. Ramadan PA, FERREIRAJRM. Riskfactors associated with the reporting of musculoskeletal symptoms in workers at a laboratory of clinical pathology. Ann OccupHyg.2005;50(3):297-303
- 28. Yasobant S, Rajkumar P. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among health care professionals: A cross-sectional assessment of risk factors in a tertiary hospital, India. Indian J Occup Environ Med 2014;18:75-81.